Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1174 HB	Title: Court interpreters	Agency	: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact		1	
_			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Expenditures from:			
Non-ze	ro but indeterminate cost and/or savings. I	Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Impact: NONE			
Subject to the provisions of RCW 43.1. Check applicable boxes and follow If fiscal impact is greater than Parts I-V.	corresponding instructions: \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium 0,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium o	m or in subsequent bienni	a, complete entire fiscal note fo
Legislative Contact John Burzyn:	ski	Phone: 360-786-7133	Date: 01/20/2025
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn		Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 02/11/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	7	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/11/2025
of FM Review: Gaius Horton	ı	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 02/11/2025

201,687.00 Request # 063-2 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>1174 HB</u>

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill requests changes to Washington statute (RCW 2.43) to be compliant with Federal Department of Justice policy interpretation of Title VI prohibiting a court from imposing interpreter costs on parties in all court proceedings and court-managed programs; update statutory verbiage in order to align with the operations conducted by AOCs Court Interpreter Program and industry best practices; and to provide better access to the courts and court services for individuals regardless of their ability to communicate in English.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Impact is indeterminate. The funding amounts listed below are based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Interpreter hourly rate of \$40.17 by averaging minimum amount (\$32.86) and maximum amount (\$47.47).
- 2. Initial assessments are 1.25 hours and mandatory classes are at least 8 hours.
- 3. The number of cases were derived from data from 2022 and 2023. This data was extrapolated out to 2031 and then divided by 10 to get an average.
- 4. Numbers assume 100% utilization (high) and 33% utilization (low).

The total costs for this period could be as high as \$2,190,000 or as low as \$547,200 with the total assessments / mandatory classes being 7,554 (high) and 1,890 (low).

The costs and cases spread out by fiscal year are as follows with the high value first and low value second:

```
FY26: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
FY27: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
FY28: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
FY29: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
FY30: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
FY31: $365,000 / 1,259 cases; $91,200 / 315 cases
```

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

201,687.00 Request # 063-2

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # <u>1174 HB</u>

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None